



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2915
10/081,466	····	02/22/2002	Joseph Gredone	I-2-0201.1US	
24374	7590	08/12/2003			
	ND KOE	NIG, P.C.	EXAMINER		
	LAZA, SU		ELAMIN, ABDELMONIEM I		
	I 17TH STI LPHIA, PA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>,</b>			2182	3
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary



Application No. 10/081,466 Applicant(s)

Gredone et al

Examiner

Abdelmoniem Elamin

Art Unit 2182



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the	cover she	et with t	the correspondence address			
	for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will e	xpire SIX (6) i	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 22, 20	002			·			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ✓ This action	on is	non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-37				is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u>				is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)							
8) 🗆	Claims		are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌	accepte	d or b)[	$\Box$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing	g(s) be hel	d in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e bee	n receive	d.				
	2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	e bee	n receive	d in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PC	CT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		-					
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic							
_	The translation of the foreign language provisional							
15) <b></b> □	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	hudu	ty under .	30 U.S.(	C. 33 120 dilu/01 121.			
Attachm	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆	Interview Sur	nmarv (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_			t Application (PTO-152)			
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)						

Page 2

Application/Control Nambour: 10/081,466

Art Unit: 2782

1,4

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded

in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise

extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759

F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418

F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321© may be used to

overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application.

See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal

disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-37 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of copending Application No.

09/990,060. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Application/Control Nambour: 10/081,466

Page 3

Art Unit: 2782

each other because they recite means or steps that are substantially the same and that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 15, 23, 26 and 32, essentially repeat most of the features listed in claims 1,4, 18, 26, 34, 40 and 46 of the copending Application No. 09/990,060. With the exception of the trivial difference of one saying a hybrid serial/parallel bus interface for a base station and the other saying a hybrid serial/parallel bus interface.
- 4. Claims 2-3 of the instant application are identical to claims 2-3 of the copending application.
- 5. Claims 24-25 of the instant application are identical to claims 35-36 of the copending application.
- 6. Claims 27-31 of the instant application are identical to claims 41-45 of the copending application.
- 7. Claims 33-37 of the instant application are identical to claims 47-51 of the copending application.
- 8. Claims 6, 16 & 26 of the instant application are identical to claims 6, 16 & 26 of the copending application.

Application/Control Nambour: 10/081,466

Art Unit: 2782

Conclusion

Page 4

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Abdelmoniem I. Elamin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3804. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at (703)308-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to

the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239

(Official)

(703) 746-7240

(Non-Official/Draft)

(703) 746-7238

(After-final)

Art Unit: 2782

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Forth Floor (receptionist).

Respectfully Submitted

Abdelmoniem I. Elamin

Art Unit 2182

August 7, 2003